



Staff: SRI. REJIMOAN R

Subject : EST102 - PROGRAMMING IN C

**Session Name: End Evaluation** Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 55** Overall Score: 86.27

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	28	50.91%	497
		Good	14.13	20	36.36%	282.6
		Fair	10.28	5	9.09%	51.4
		Poor	3.53	1	1.82%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.82%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	24	43.64%	348
	Explanations	Good	11.81	20	36.36%	236.2
		Fair	8.11	9	16.36%	72.99
		Poor	2.01	1	1.82%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.82%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	30	54.55%	225
		Good	5.71	18	32.73%	102.78
		Fair	4.04	4	7.27%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	2	3.64%	2.26
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.82%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	43	78.18%	251.55
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	7	12.73%	25.62
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	9.09%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	47	85.45%	188
		Yes	0.67	4	7.27%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	7.27%	6.6
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	32	58.18%	296
		Satisfactory	6.34	21	38.18%	133.14
		Inadequate	2.50	2	3.64%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Chood of procentation	Just Right	4.75	43	78.18%	204.25
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	5.45%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	9	16.36%	12.6
	2. Doos the teacher encourage guestioning	Yes	6.88	41	74.55%	282.08
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	11	20%	42.79
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	5.45%	5.34
	4. Pohavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	37	67.27%	249.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	9	16.36%	25.02
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.64%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	7	12.73%	13.58
	E Cincovity of the tarabar	Sincere	11.50	51	92.73%	586.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	7.27%	20.24
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	C. Overell Teaching offertive	Excellent	11.25	28	50.91%	315
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	19	34.55%	171.57
		Fair	6.46	5	9.09%	32.3
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	3	5.45%	6.09
		Lantoaago			0070	0.00

### Strength and Weakness • good knowledge





Staff: SRI. HARIKUTTAN K.

**Subject: EST110 - ENGINEERING GRAPHICS** 

**Session Name: End Evaluation** Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 55** Overall Score: 84.75

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	34	61.82%	603.5
	, ,	Good	14.13	13	23.64%	183.69
		Fair	10.28	6	10.91%	61.68
		Poor	3.53	1	1.82%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.82%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	27	49.09%	391.5
	Explanations	Good	11.81	16	29.09%	188.96
		Fair	8.11	7	12.73%	56.77
		Poor	2.01	4	7.27%	8.04
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.82%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	35	63.64%	262.5
		Good	5.71	12	21.82%	68.52
		Fair	4.04	4	7.27%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	3	5.45%	3.39
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.82%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	31	56.36%	181.35
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	12	21.82%	43.92
		More than 25	1.91	3	5.45%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	9	16.36%	14.76
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	43	78.18%	172
	5. Whether the teachers dictates hotes only	Yes	0.67	7	12.73%	4.69
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	9.09%	8.25
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	33	60%	305.25
	1. Feachers ability to organize recture	Satisfactory	6.34	16	29.09%	101.44
		Inadequate	2.50	2	3.64%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	7.27%	12.64
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	41	74.55%	194.75
		Too Fast	1.34	7	12.73%	9.38
		Can't Judge	1.40	7	12.73%	9.8
	2. Deep the teacher analyzage guestioning	Yes	6.88	39	70.91%	268.32
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	12.73%	27.23
		No	0.74	6	10.91%	4.44
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	5.45%	5.34
	4. Pohovior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	47	85.45%	317.25
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	4	7.27%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.64%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	3.64%	3.88
	E. Cinnevity of the tarabar	Sincere	11.50	46	83.64%	529
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.82%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	7.27%	20.24
		Blank	4.03	4	7.27%	16.12
	C. Overell Teaching offertive	Excellent	11.25	31	56.36%	348.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	13	23.64%	117.39
		Fair	6.46	8	14.55%	51.68
		Poor	1.56	2	3.64%	3.12
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.82%	2.03

### Strength and Weakness • good knowledge





Staff: SMT. SUJA DEVI V.

Subject: PHT100 - ENGINEERING PHYSICS A (FOR CIRCUIT BRANCHES)

**Session Name: End Evaluation** Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 55** Overall Score: 86.71

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	41	74.55%	727.75
	casjoot two moago	Good	14.13	11	20%	155.43
		Fair	10.28	2	3.64%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	1	1.82%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	31	56.36%	449.5
	Explanations	Good	11.81	17	30.91%	200.77
		Fair	8.11	3	5.45%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	4	7.27%	8.04
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
ľ	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	31	56.36%	232.5
	g	Good	5.71	15	27.27%	85.65
		Fair	4.04	5	9.09%	20.2
		Poor	1.13	3	5.45%	3.39
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.82%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	42	76.36%	245.7
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	6	10.91%	21.96
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.64%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	9.09%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	47	85.45%	188
		Yes	0.67	2	3.64%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	6	10.91%	9.9
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	32	58.18%	296
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	19	34.55%	120.46
		Inadequate	2.50	3	5.45%	7.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.82%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	42	76.36%	199.5
		Too Fast	1.34	10	18.18%	13.4
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	5.45%	4.2
ŀ	2. Doos the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	43	78.18%	295.84
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	6	10.91%	23.34
		No	0.74	2	3.64%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	7.27%	7.12
ŀ	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	33	60%	222.75
	4. Denavior of the leadifer	Indifferent	2.78	11	20%	30.58
		Unpleasant	1.28	5	9.09%	6.4
		Can't Judge	1.94	6	10.91%	11.64
	E. Cincovity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	48	87.27%	552
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	2	3.64%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	5.45%	15.18
		Blank	4.03	2	3.64%	8.06
	6 Overell Teaching offertiveness	Excellent	11.25	32	58.18%	360
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	14	25.45%	126.42
		Fair	6.46	7	12.73%	45.22
		Poor	1.56	2	3.64%	3.12
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

### Strength and Weakness • good knowledge





Staff: DONA SEBASTIAN

Subject: HUN102 - PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION

**Session Name: End Evaluation** Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 55** Overall Score: 92.51

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	44	80%	781
	casjoot in one ago	Good	14.13	7	12.73%	98.91
		Fair	10.28	2	3.64%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	2	3.64%	7.06
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	46	83.64%	667
	Explanations	Good	11.81	6	10.91%	70.86
		Fair	8.11	1	1.82%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	2	3.64%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	43	78.18%	322.5
	o. readilers willinghess to ricip	Good	5.71	7	12.73%	39.97
		Fair	4.04	2	3.64%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	3	5.45%	3.39
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	43	78.18%	251.5
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	8	14.55%	29.28
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.64%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	2	3.64%	3.28
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	48	87.27%	192
		Yes	0.67	4	7.27%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	5.45%	4.95
2	1. Tanahara ahilitu ta arganiza laatura	Excellent	9.25	42	76.36%	388.5
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	11	20%	69.74
		Inadequate	2.50	2	3.64%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
		Just Right	4.75	49	89.09%	232.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	2	3.64%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	7.27%	5.6
		Yes	6.88	42	76.36%	288.96
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	9	16.36%	35.01
		No	0.74	2	3.64%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	3.64%	3.56
		Pleasant	6.75	51	92.73%	344.25
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	3.64%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.82%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	1.82%	1.94
		Sincere	11.50	51	92.73%	586.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	5.45%	15.18
		Blank	4.03	1	1.82%	4.03
		Excellent	11.25	43		4.03
	Overall Teaching effectiveness				78.18%	1
		Good Fair	9.03	10	18.18%	90.3
			6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	2	3.64%	3.12
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

### **Strength and Weakness**

good knowledge





Staff: NARMADA RN

Subject: HUN102 - PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION

**Session Name: End Evaluation** Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 55** Overall Score: 94.95

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	46	83.64%	816.5
	casjoot two moago	Good	14.13	7	12.73%	98.91
		Fair	10.28	1	1.82%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	1	1.82%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	47	85.45%	681.5
	Explanations	Good	11.81	5	9.09%	59.05
		Fair	8.11	2	3.64%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	1	1.82%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	47	85.45%	352.5
	or readrice riming reserte risip	Good	5.71	5	9.09%	28.55
		Fair	4.04	2	3.64%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.82%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	47	85.45%	274.95
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	4	7.27%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.82%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	5.45%	4.92
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	50	90.91%	200
		Yes	0.67	1	1.82%	0.67
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	7.27%	6.6
2	1. Togehore ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	48	87.27%	444
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	6	10.91%	38.04
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.82%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	51	92.73%	242.25
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	7.27%	5.6
	2. Doos the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	45	81.82%	309.6
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	12.73%	27.23
		No	0.74	1	1.82%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	3.64%	3.56
	4. Pohavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	53	96.36%	357.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	1.82%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	1.82%	1.94
	E. Cincovity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	52	94.55%	598
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.82%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	1.82%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	1	1.82%	4.03
	6 Overell Teaching offertiveness	Excellent	11.25	47	85.45%	528.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	7	12.73%	63.21
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.82%	2.03

### Strength and Weakness • good knowledge





Staff: DR.ANITHA CS

Subject: MAT102 - VECTOR CALCULUS, DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND TRANSFORMS

**Session Name: End Evaluation** Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 55** Overall Score: 97.15

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	53	96.36%	940.75
	3.	Good	14.13	1	1.82%	14.13
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	1	1.82%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	52	94.55%	754
	Explanations	Good	11.81	2	3.64%	23.62
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	1	1.82%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	51	92.73%	382.5
	or reasoners running reserve to risip	Good	5.71	3	5.45%	17.13
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	1	1.82%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	51	92.73%	298.35
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	1.82%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.82%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	2	3.64%	3.28
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	52	94.55%	208
		Yes	0.67	1	1.82%	0.67
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	3.64%	3.3
2	1. Tagahara ability ta arganiza lagtura	Excellent	9.25	49	89.09%	453.25
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	5	9.09%	31.7
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.82%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2 Chood of presentation	Just Right	4.75	52	94.55%	247
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	5.45%	4.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	51	92.73%	350.88
		Some Times	3.89	3	5.45%	11.67
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	1.82%	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	53	96.36%	357.75
	4. Deliavior of the leadile	Indifferent	2.78	1	1.82%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	1.82%	1.94
	E. Cincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	53	96.36%	609.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.82%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	1	1.82%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching offestiveness	Excellent	11.25	52	94.55%	585
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	2	3.64%	18.06
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.82%	2.03
		Jantougo	1 2.00	1.	1	

### Strength and Weakness • good knowledge





Staff: SMT. LAKSHMI V S

Subject: EST130 - BASICS OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

**Session Name: End Evaluation** Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 55** Overall Score: 93.87

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	47	85.45%	834.25
		Good	14.13	6	10.91%	84.78
		Fair	10.28	1	1.82%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	1	1.82%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	38	69.09%	551
	Explanations	Good	11.81	13	23.64%	153.53
		Fair	8.11	3	5.45%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	1	1.82%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	44	80%	330
	o. Todonors Willinghoss to Holp	Good	5.71	10	18.18%	57.1
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.82%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	47	85.45%	274.95
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	6	10.91%	21.96
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	2	3.64%	3.28
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	51	92.73%	204
		Yes	0.67	2	3.64%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	3.64%	3.3
2	1. Topobore ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	38	69.09%	351.5
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	16	29.09%	101.44
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.82%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	46	83.64%	218.5
		Too Fast	1.34	4	7.27%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.40	5	9.09%	7
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	48	87.27%	330.24
		Some Times	3.89	4	7.27%	15.56
		No	0.74	1	1.82%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	3.64%	3.56
	4. Debouter of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	53	96.36%	357.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	1.82%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	1.82%	1.94
	F. Cinnavity, of the Assessment	Sincere	11.50	53	96.36%	609.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	1.82%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	1	1.82%	4.03
	0.0 ""	Excellent	11.25	42	76.36%	472.5
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	12	21.82%	108.36
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
			2.03		1.82%	2.03
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.02%	2.03

### Strength and Weakness • good knowledge





Staff: SMT. RESHMI KRISHNAN S

Subject: EST130 - BASICS OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

**Session Name: End Evaluation** Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN365-Aug-2020 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 55** Overall Score: 97

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	52	94.55%	923
		Good	14.13	2	3.64%	28.26
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.82%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers	Excellent	14.50	49	89.09%	710.5
	Explanations	Good	11.81	5	9.09%	59.05
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.82%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	49	89.09%	367.5
	or reasoners running reserve to risip	Good	5.71	5	9.09%	28.55
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.82%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the	Less than 10	5.85	52	94.55%	304.2
	teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	1.82%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	2	3.64%	3.28
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	52	94.55%	208
		Yes	0.67	1	1.82%	0.67
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	3.64%	3.3
2	1. Tagahara ability ta arganiza lagtura	Excellent	9.25	49	89.09%	453.25
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	5	9.09%	31.7
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.82%	3.16
	2 Chood of presentation	Just Right	4.75	52	94.55%	247
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1	1.82%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	3.64%	2.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	49	89.09%	337.12
		Some Times	3.89	4	7.27%	15.56
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	3.64%	3.56
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	54	98.18%	364.5
	4. Deliavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	1.82%	1.94
	E. Cincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	54	98.18%	621
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	1	1.82%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching offestiveness	Excellent	11.25	47	85.45%	528.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	7	12.73%	63.21
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.82%	2.03
		- Surrivage	2.00	1 '	1.02 /0	

### Strength and Weakness • good knowledge